



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,335	02/28/2006	Bulent Ozgur Gurleyen	P08623US00 / BAS	2759

881 7590 02/26/2009  
STITES & HARBISON PLLC  
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET  
SUITE 900  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

WRIGHT, BRYAN F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2431

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/26/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/517,335	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GURLEYEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRYAN WRIGHT	<b>Art Unit</b> 2431	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 30-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 30-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/3/2008</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**FINAL ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Amendment filed 11/24/2008.
2. Claims 30-45 have been amended. Claims 30-58 are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 30, 34, 36-45, 49, and 51-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elson et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2003/0014521 and Elson hereinafter) in view of Gehrmann (WIPO IPN WO 01/31836 (cited from IDS)).

4. As to claim 30, Elson teaches a network including a plurality of devices, each device being capable of wireless communication with the other devices of the network (e.g., WLAN par. 232, lines 12-15), at least some of said devices having one or more resources for sharing with the other devices of the network (i.e., 608, fig.6, device drivers representative of shared devices on the network),

the administration means (i.e., controlling access module) including means for selectively enabling sharing of said resources between the devices within the domain and which is operable to maintain a store (i.e., schedule) indicating the resources available for sharing between respective devices within the domain and to provide these devices with data to enable selective sharing of resources (abstract).

Elson does not expressly teach:

the network including a device having administration means for allowing selected devices to be associated within a domain including at least three of said devices by providing each device in the domain with identification data,

the identification data including security data for identifying each device as a member of the domain and device identity data corresponding to each member of the domain, said device identity data being required to allow each device in the domain to establish secure communication directly with each other device within the domain,

Art Unit: 2431

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Elson as introduced by Gehrmann. Gehrmann discloses:

the network including a device (e.g., X-Node) having administration means for allowing selected devices to be associated within a domain including at least three of said devices by providing each device in the domain with identification data (to provide the capability to adapt one domain device with identification data [pg. 5, lines 5-15; pg. 4, lines 4-8]),

the identification data (e.g., public key certificate) including security data for identifying each device as a member of the domain and device identity data corresponding to each member of the domain, said device identity data being required to allow each device in the domain to establish secure communication directly with each other device within the domain (to provide the capability to send all the trusted (e.g., identification data) with the trusted group (e.g., domain) for purpose of establishing a communication [pg. 7, lines 15-25]).

Therefore, given the teachings of Gehrmann, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying Elson by employing the well known features of transmitting device public key certificate for purpose of joining a trusted group disclosed above by Gehrmann, for which packet virus scanning will be enhanced [pg. 7, lines 15-25].

Art Unit: 2431

5. As to claim 34, Elson teaches a network where each device has a security certificate associated therewith indicating its membership of the domain [par. 210- par. 211].

6. As to claim 36, Elson teaches a network where the administration means transmits to each device within the domain data indicative of the characteristics (e.g., platform independent open device interface) of the other devices within the domain (i.e., Elson teaches a platform independent open device interface architecture. Elson teaches the support of various functionality shared by all devices within said architecture [par. 84, lines 1-13]).

7. As to claim 37, Elson teaches a network where the administration means (i.e., resource control) is transferable (i.e., distributed throughout network) from one device to another (i.e., Elson teaches the ability for resource control may be centralized (i.e., one device) or distributed throughout the network [par. 213, lines 1-4].

8. As to claim 38, Elson teaches a network where a plurality of devices within the domain include administration means (i.e., resource control), and means is provided to selectively enable only one (i.e., centralized) of said administration means (i.e., resource control) at a time (i.e., Elson teaches the ability for resource control may be centralized (i.e., one device) or distributed throughout the network [par. 213, lines 1-4].

Art Unit: 2431

9. As to claim 39, Elson teaches a network including a plurality of said domains (i.e., plurality remote platform) [claim 18, lines 11-13].

10. As to claim 40, Elson teaches a network where a device (i.e., device drivers) is associated with each of said plurality of domains (i.e., plurality remote platform) [claim 18, lines 4-8].

11. As to claim 41, Elson teaches a network where at least one of the devices within the domain includes control means (i.e., resource management module) for controlling use of (i.e., management) its resources by other devices within the domain [claim 18, lines 4-8].

12. As to claim 42, Elson teaches a network where the control means (i.e., generate schedule) limits access by said other devices to only selected ones of said resources [claim 18, lines 22-24].

13. As to claim 43, Elson teaches a network where the control means limits the amount of use (i.e., time limit) by said other devices to said resources [par. 215, lines 9-11].

14. As to claim 44, Elson teaches a network where the control means prompts (i.e., login) the operator of the device making resources available (i.e., visible available

Art Unit: 2431

resources) to authorize use of said resources by said other devices when a request for use of said resources is received therefrom [par. 216].

15. As to claim 45, Elson teaches a method allowing selected devices within a network to be associated within a domain (i.e., telematics system) that includes at least three [fig. 10, resource 1-3] of said devices (e.g., Bluetooth radio, 802.11 radio, cellular telephone [par. 116, lines 1-7], each device being capable of wireless communication (e.g., WLAN) with the other devices of the domain (e.g., WLAN par. 232, lines 12-15) and at least some of said devices having (i.e., residing) one or more resources for sharing with the other devices of the domain [e.g., platform] (i.e. Elson teaches available shared resources residing on a platform [claim 18, lines 22- 24]),

the adapted device selectively enabling sharing of said resources between the devices within the domain by maintaining a store (i.e., schedule) indicating the resources available for sharing between respective devices within the domain and providing these devices with data to enable selective sharing of resources (abstract).

Elson does not expressly teach:

the method including adapting one device within the domain to provide each other device in the domain with identification data,

the identification data including security data for identifying each device as a member of the domain and device identity data corresponding to each member of the



Art Unit: 2431

domain, said device identity data being required to allow each device in the domain to establish secure communication directly with each other device within the domain

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Elson as introduced by Gehrmann. Gehrmann discloses:

the method including adapting one device (e.g., X-Node) within the domain to provide each other device in the domain with identification data (to provide the capability to adapt one domain device with identification data [pg. 5, lines 5-15; pg. 4, lines 4-8],

the identification data (e.g., public key certificate) including security data for identifying each device as a member of the domain and device identity data corresponding to each member of the domain, said device identity data being required to allow each device in the domain to establish secure communication directly with each other device within the domain (to provide the capability to send all the trusted (e.g., identification data) with the trusted group (e.g., domain) for purpose of establishing a communication [pg. 7, lines 15-25]

Therefore, given the teachings of Gehrmann, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying Elson by employing the well known features of transmitting device public key certificate for purpose of joining a trusted group disclosed above by Gehrmann, for which packet virus scanning will be enhanced [pg. 7, lines 15-25].

16. As to claim 49, Elson teaches a method where each device has a security certificate associated therewith indicating its membership of the domain [par. 210- par. 211].

17. As to claim 51, Elson teaches a method where the adapted device transmits to each device within the domain data indicative of the characteristics (e.g., platform independent open device interface) of the other devices within the domain (i.e., Elson teaches a platform independent open device interface architecture. Elson teaches the support of various functionality shared by all devices within said architecture [par. 84, lines 1-13]).

18. As to claim 52, Elson teaches a method including changing the device within the domain which provides each other device with identification data (i.e., identification metadata) [claim 25].

19. As to claim 53, Elson teaches a method including allowing the formation of a plurality of said domains (i.e., plurality remote platform) [claim 18, lines 11-13].

20. As to claim 54, Elson teaches a method where a device (i.e., device drivers) is associated with each of said plurality of domains (i.e., plurality remote platform) [claim 18, lines 4-8].

21. As to claim 55, Elson teaches a method where use (i.e., accessing to activate) of the resources of at least one of the devices (i.e., radio display) within the domain (i.e., audio subsystem) by other devices (i.e., button) in the domain (i.e., audio subsystem) is controlled (i.e., gateway) [par. 132]. 21. As to claim 56, Elson teaches a method where controlling the use of the resources of at least one of the devices within the domain by other devices in the domain includes limiting access (i.e., time -limit) by said other devices to only selected ones of said resources [par. 215, lines 9-11].

22. As to claim 57, Elson teaches a method where controlling the use of the resources of at least one of the devices within the domain by other devices in the domain includes limiting the amount of use (i.e., time -limit) by said other devices of said resources [par. 215, lines 9-11].

23. As to claim 58, Elson teaches a method where controlling the use of the resources of at least one of the devices within the domain by other devices in the domain includes prompting (i.e., log on) the operator of the device making resources available (i.e., visible available resources) to authorize use of said resources by said other devices when a request for use of said resources is received therefrom [par. 216].

Art Unit: 2431

24. Claims 31,32, 33, 35, 46-48, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elson in view of Gehrman, as applied to claims 30 and 45 above, and further in view of Morgan (US Patent Publication No. 2003/0204738).

25. As to claims 31, 32, 33, and 35, the system disclosed by shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed in the paragraph above), it fails to disclose:

A network where the identification data received from the administration means includes a key (claim 31).

A network where the key is a shared key (claim 32).

A network where the key is a public key of a public-private key pair, the private key being stored on the administration means (claim 33).

A network including further keys for allowing encrypted communication between the devices within the domain (claim 35).

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by the combination of Elson and Gehrman as introduced by Morgan. Morgan discloses:

A network where the identification data received from the administration means includes a key (claim 31) (to provide means to transmit key within transmitted data [fig. 4a; fig. 4b]).

Art Unit: 2431

A network where the key is a shared key (claim 32) (to provide shared key capability [par. 100]).

A network where the key is a public key of a public-private key pair (to provide public-private key pair [par. 24]), the private key being stored on the administration means (claim 33) (to provide shared key capability [par. 18]).

A network including further keys for allowing encrypted communication between the devices within the domain (claim 35) (to provide encrypted communication [par. 75, lines 16-21]).

Therefore, given the teachings of Morgan, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying the combination of Elson and Gehrmann by employing the well known features of secure distribution of digital content disclosed above by Morgan, for which network security will be enhanced [par. 75, lines 16-21].

26. As to claims 46, 47, 48, and 50, the system disclosed by the combination of Elson and Gehrmann shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed in the paragraph above), it fails to disclose: A method where the identification data includes a key (claim 46).

A method where the key is a shared key (claim 47).

A method where the key is a public key of a public-private key pair, the private key being stored on the adapted device (claim 48).

A method including providing further keys for allowing encrypted communication between the devices within the domain (claim 50).

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by the combination of Elson and Gehrmann as introduced by Morgan. Morgan discloses:

A method where the identification data includes a key (claim 46) (to provide means to transmit key within transmitted data [fig. 4a; fig. 4b]).

A method where the key is a shared key (claim 47) (to provide shared key capability [par. 100]).

A method where the key is a public key of a public-private key pair, the private key being stored on the adapted device (claim 48) (to provide shared key capability [par. 18]).

A method including providing further keys for allowing encrypted communication between the devices within the domain (claim 50) (to provide encrypted communication [par. 75, lines 16-21]).

Therefore, given the teachings of Morgan, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying the combination of Elson and Gehrmann by employing the well known features of secure distribution of digital content disclosed above by Morgan, for which network security will be enhanced [par. 75, lines 16-21].

### ***Response to Amendment***

Applicant has amended independent claims 30 and 45 to read, “the identification data including security data for identifying each device as a member of the domain and device identity data corresponding to each member of the domain, said device identity data being required to allow each device in the domain to establish secure communication directly with each other device within the domain”, and subsequently argues Elson is deficient in teaching such limitation. Examiner contends applicant arguments are moot on the basis of the new 103 rejection of Elson in view of Gehrmann. Gehrmann teaches sending public key information (e.g., identification information) of associated trusted group members to a candidate member. Those skilled in the art would recognize the public key information (e.g., X.509) contains specific device information.

With regards to applicant's remarks of, “It is respectfully submitted that Morgan does not add anything that would remedy the aforementioned deficiencies of Elson”, Examiner contends applicant's remarks are moot in view of the new rejection of Elson and Gehrmann in view of Morgan.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2431

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRYAN WRIGHT whose telephone number is (571)270-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AYAZ Sheikh can be reached on (571)272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2431

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRYAN WRIGHT/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2431

**/Kimyen Vu/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435**